







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,658	10/10/2000	Hugh G. Loebner		4106	
7	7590 07/01/2003				
HUGH LOEBNER			EXAMINER		
c/o CROWN INDUSTRIES INC 155 NORTH PARK St EAST ORANGE, NJ 07017			GARCIA, ERNESTO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679	3679	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Advisory Action	09/684,658	LOEBNER, HUGH G.		
á	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ernesto Garcia	3679		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 06 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a second representation under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
b) [fee hav fee und (2) as s	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ve been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o			
2.⊠	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
•	a) they raise new issues that would require further	,	see NOTE below);		
(b	(\mathbf{p}) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);			
(c	 they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(0	I) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.		
a [□	NOTE:	ion(a):			
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would	· · ——	oparate, timely filed amandment		
	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•		
5.∐	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the		
6.□	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly		
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · · · ·	, <u> </u>		
	The status of the claim(s) is as follows:				
	Claim(s) allowed:				
	Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 5-7.				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-4.				
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· •		
10.	0. Other: LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3620				